

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION

2

1

COMMISSIONERS

GARY PIERCE

BOB BURNS

BRENDA BURNS

BOB STUMP - Chairman

SUSAN BITTER SMITH

3 4

5

6 7

10 11

12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

2014 AUG - 7 A 8: 58

CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

AUG 0 7 2014

DOCKETED BY



DOCKET NO. T-01923A-13-0428 AND TO INCREASE RESIDENTIAL RATES AS NECESSARY TO COMPENSATE FOR THE RATE

ORIGINAL

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

IMPACTS OF THE FCC'S USF/ICC TRANSFORMATION ORDER.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF

SOUTH CENTRAL UTAH TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION, INC., A UTAH NON-PROFIT

CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO

DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY, THE FAIR VALUE OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES,

By Procedural Order dated July 1, 2014, South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc. ("SCU") and the Arizona Corporation Commission's ("Commission") Utilities Division ("Staff") were directed to file updated recommendations in light of the FCC's June 10, 2014 release of its Seventh Reconsideration Order which granted a waiver of the provision that would cut high cost loop support for carriers if their rates are \$14 or higher as of June 1, 2014, and established a phase-in schedule for residential floor rates that must be in effect in order to preserve current levels of high cost loop support.1

On July 31, 2014, SCU and Staff filed their updated recommendations in this matter. Both parties are recommending that the Commission adopt the following residential access rates and effective implementation dates without further hearing in this matter: \$16 by December 1, 2014; \$18 by June 1, 2016; and \$20 by June 1, 2017.

Prior to the FCC's Seventh Reconsideration Order, SCU was recommending that the Commission adopt a residential rate of \$20.46, and Staff was recommending a rate of \$19.00 to be

Report and Order, Declaratory Ruling, Order, Memorandum Opinion and Order, Seventh Order on Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, WC Docket No. 10-90, WT Docket No. 10-208, WC Docket No. 14-58. WC Docket No. 07-135, CC Docket No. 01-92, Released June 10, 2014 ("Seventh Reconsideration Order") at ¶ 80.

effective June 1, 2014. The exhibits admitted in the record reflect the parties' positions at the time and prior to the FCC's June 10, 2014 Order. In order to have a complete and accurate record, SCU should update its schedules to show the pro forma effects on revenues if residential access rates are increased to \$16, \$18 and \$20, and to also show the effect on operations if residential rates remain at \$14/month and the federal benchmark is \$16, \$18 and \$20/ month; and shall update the exhibit that shows a typical residential rate at the requested rates.

In its Staff Report, Staff analyzed the application as filed, using residential local exchange access rates of \$17.50 and \$19.00. Staff opined that compared to the Company's total revenues, the impact on revenues from an increase to \$19.00 would be small and any impact on the return on SCU's FVRB would be de minimus.² Staff then provided its reasons for believing that its recommended rates (i.e. up to \$19.00) are just, fair and reasonable, and necessary. In its July 31, 2014 update, Staff recommends adopting the lower of \$16, \$18, and \$20 or the FCC's benchmark floor to be phased-in over time. Given these revised recommendations, Staff is requested to clarify its reasons for concluding that the revised rates and phase-in schedule are just, fair and reasonable. Similarly, given that the revised requested rates are lower than the Company originally requested and would be phased-in, the Company is also requested to confirm that the revised rates and phase-in would result in just, fair and reasonable rates, and provide the basis for its conclusion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties shall file updated schedules and clarifying statements, as discussed herein, as soon as they can, but no later than August 29, 2014.

20 | . .

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 ...

22 | . .

23 | . .

24 | . .

25 | . .

26 .

27

28

² Staff Report at 5.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend,
2	or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at
3	hearing.
4	DATED this day of August, 2014.
5	$Q A Q_{ij}$
6	JANE L. RODDA
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
8	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this The day of August, 2014, to:
9	Craig A. Marks
10	Craig A Marks, PLC 10645 N. Tatum Blvd, Ste. 200-676
11	Phoenix, AZ 85028 Attorney for South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc.
12	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
13	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
14	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
15	Steven M. Olea, Director
16	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
17	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
18	
19	By: Rebecca Unquera
20	Assistant to Jane L. Rodda
20	
21	
21	
21 22	
21 22 23	

27

28